Location:	Reims/ Ay, France		
Date:	Thursday, 15 th May 2014 Start: 9:30 End: 16:30		
Attendees:	Council Members Present: Thomas Hansson, Rolands Auziņš, Ignace Shops, Gábor Szilagyi, Giampiero Sammuri, Marian Jager-		
	Wöltgens, Dominique Leveque,		
	Co-Opted Council members present: Eric Baird, Paulo Castro (Treasurer), Kaja Lotman (Nordic Baltic Section President – Section		
	representative)		
	Others Present: Carol Ritchie (Director), Meldra Langenfelde (Executive Administrator, minutes), Wilf Fenten (EUROPARC		
	Consulting), David Cameron (Internal Auditor)		
Apologies	-		

Agen da Item	Notes	Decisions Taken	Actions
0.	The president opened the meeting and welcomed all participants.		
1.	Minutes of Ballater Council meeting	Decisions Taken Minutes are approved.	Actions
2.	Matters arising Governance report issue – David Cameron clarified some issues about the report he has to submit.	Decisions Taken Council is going to wait for the finalised report until the next meeting.	Actions
3.	Membership report After the presentation of the report the reasons of the Metsähallitus membership change request were discussed. Thomas Hansson and Carol Ritchie had contacted them and received a very good explanation (see Annex 1). The number of members stays the same (as two members resign, but two new apply for a membership), but the total amount of received membership fees will decrease. Regional membership is a priority to Metsähallitus. They would like to strengthen the regional representation on a structural way. Their reorganisation is one of the reasons for the proposed changes in the	Decisions Taken Council officially approves the new members: Professional Association <i>Swiss Rangers</i> , Switzerland; Vätsäri Wilderness Area, Metsähallitus, Finland; Syöte National Park, Metsähallitus, Finland Council notes the resignation the following members: Metsähallitus Natural Heritage Services, Steering	Actions

membership by EUROPARC.	Units;	
	Metsähallitus Natural Heritage Services, Archipelago	
Kaya Lotman would like to get more information concerning the se	ections, National Park	Directorate to inform
especially about resignations and membership issues, from the		sections
Directorate.		representative on
		matters related to
National Trust is reconsidering the membership.		the sections, to
		,
		send a list of
Giampiero Sammuri reports that Federico Minozzi took part in the		members resigned
Italian members. In September several members could return to t	ne	(in order to ask the
Federation. He has had private problems and therefore could not	ensure	sections those
his presence at several meetings. From now on the Italian member		members to remain
shall have a better financial situation. A letter has been sent from		otherwise they need
Federparchi to the members with an explanation of membership is		to resign from
		-
In 2-3 months the situation shall improve.		sections as well).
		Directorate prepares
		a list of non-paying
		members and sends
		to the Council
		before the end of
		May 2014.
		101dy 2014.
		Welcome of
		Giampiero Sammuri
		to improve the
		membership
		incomes level from
		Italy.
4 Treasurer's report		

	Treasurer reported according to the report. Financially membership income is on schedule. Costs and incomes are in balance to date. Membership payments are done on regular basis and similar to the previous years. The NGO Grant instalment has not yet been received, but shall be transferred in the next weeks. Discussions on NGO grant proposal – how to make it more realistic to the situation of incomes. Proposal was discussed – first to plan a budget and then to put into the NGO grant and see that NGO grant income makes a 25% income.	Council noted the report.	Treasurer and Director need to consider how to prepare a more realistic annual budget plan for the next year.
5.	Directorate report	Decisions Taken	Actions
	Carol Ritchie confirmed the willingness to cooperate with EUROSITE. A bid for the bio-geographic project is being prepared. EUROSITE and EUROPARC will go together for a new application with ECNC. Deadline is the 10 th June. Discussions about the budget will take place. It will be a commercial activity and therefore Federation will be taxed for this. Gábor Szilagyi added that in the bio-geographical meetings just ministry representatives, NGO and scientists take part and not the PA managers that should change in the future. Project proposal perspectives for the Federation were listed. Possible financial donors – BfN (Federal Agency for Nature Conservation, DBU (German Federal Environment Foundation) and ERASMUS for youth projects. Project proposals are in preparation. Dominique Leveque suggested that project proposals could be given over to EUROPARC Consulting in order not to lose the opportunities.	Council notes the report.	

it wo grant	structures that EUROPARC Consulting could take on these jobs as uld make accounting cleaner and not reduce the Federation NGO . The question should always be who can do things best and who s most benefit to the Federation in the long-term."	
Ignad acco proce section	ments on the new design for the EUROPARC WEBSITE se Shops pointed out that it could be useful to design home page rding to the suggestions expressed during the of consultation ess for future developments. He also proposed to keep a separate on for the needs of the annual conference on the Federation's epage.	
	Baird added that ATS support shall be mentioned so that the bers get to know about it.	
Ques PanF for th	PARKS ation – how to response to the Federation members within the Parks? Federation is open and there should be a financial proposal at – financial model how to support 20 members without receiving inancial incomes for the services.	
are n Paule	<u>e Schops</u> : Federation should attract the ones from PanParks who ot members of Federation. <u>Castro</u> : Their certification approach can be easily adapted to the	
<u>Thon</u> mem autor	ter methodology. <u>nas Hansson</u> : Contact to them could be established through existing bers and not necessarily by the Directorate. It should not be natic and with a fair economic result.	
	Ritchie: Wild Europe is also interested in the PanParks network. Castro: It is necessary to wait because of the ongoing bankruptcy	

	event, but it is not yet known how r Discussion about the number of per Congress was held. Final decision should attend the event as this is a decided what shall be the outcome the costs to members. EUROPARC Conference 2014 work Council members were asked to ex of the Conference 2014 workshops	t are going to fund the event at the possibilities of being involved in that much finances are available. eople that shall participate in the if financially viable two persons high level meeting. It must be so of the participation in order to justify orkshops kpress their readiness to facilitate one s. e in the WS 6 description about the already done, therefore it shall be	and Carol Ritchie will be the representatives of the EUROPARC Federation during the World Parks Congress.	One page of analysis shall be prepared by both representatives beforehand for the council and members with expected results of participation at the WPC.
	The current situation with the work WS1– ? WS2 – Ignace WS3 – Rolands WS4 – occupied WS5 – Carles WS6 – Richard Blackman WS7 – Gabor	shops: WS8 – ? WS9 – Irish WS10 – Irish WS11 – ? WS12 –Dominique WS13 – Paulo WS14 – Thomas WS15 – Dea		Eric Baird and Marian Jager- Wöltgens to agree about the facilitation of one of the Conference WS.
6.	Feedback from President and co representation, including feedba		Decisions Taken	Actions

 <u>Ignace Schops:</u> 05.03.2014 – meeting with the SLOW Food International 01.04. in Brussels – Forum for Agriculture (FFA) – Quality of Life and Nature – prepared key-note statements. 06.03. Meeting with Civil Scape (Dirk Gotzmann) – network grown out of a project – organisation of landscape management organisations that would like to have a MOU with EUROPARC Federation. Participated in the establishment of the Low Countries section on 25.03. in Antwerp. Had a meeting with a Polish delegation. Polish PA managers would like to discuss membership of the Federation. 03.04. – The Hague – international conference on city biodiversity – gave a key note speech for the Federation. 06.05 – participated in the IUCN Gland meeting on WPC and Green list. 26.05. – San Francisco – Goldman Environmental Prize – representation of the Federation. <u>Kaja Lotman:</u> Communicated with sections. Concerning the Nordic-Baltic section – facilitated the Skype meeting on membership consultation and took part in the meeting with Nature Conservation Agency of Latvia. There are common plans about a cross-border certificate. <u>Marian Jager-Wöltgens</u>: Participated in the members consultation meeting in Berlin. Not a good attendance there from the side of members, as well as nobody present fror the board of EUROPARC Germany. <u>Gábor Szilagyi</u>: Conference report 2013 published. A Junior Ranger project has been initiated last year in Hungary. It has been successful so far. There is an ongoing contest for youngsters throughout the year. The winner shall attend the Conference in Ireland. He attended the EAI meeting on membership consultation. Facilitated the Hungarian focus group meeting. Together with Federico Minozzi prepared a presentation for a meeting with he EU Commission Natura 2000 WG. Additionally sent a position paper to the council members. <u>Eric Baird</u>: Worked on t		
---	--	--

	David Cameron: Submitted EUROPARC Consulting report and discussed it at the EUROPARC Consulting board meeting in April. <u>Rolands Auzins:</u> Participated in the re-elaboration of the guidelines for TB areas, as well as in the membership consultation meeting on Skype with the Nordic-Baltic section members. Worked on the process of official cooperation establishment between the Nature Conservation Agency of Latvia and the Environmental Board of Estonia. Took part in a ProPark project meeting in Lithuania in April. <u>Thomas Hansson</u> : Regular contacts with Carol Ritchie on Federation management issues. Visited Brussels office of IUCN together with Federico Minozzi. Provided Charter presentation in the Outdoor Research Conference in April. Represented Swedish members' opinion in the consultation process. Took part in the EUROPARC Consulting board meeting.		
7.	EUROPARC Consulting report Report commented by Wilf Fenten.	Decisions Taken Council noted report.	Actions
	Wilf Fenten: The last six months of 2013 have been financially bad for	·	
	the company. This year has started on a more positive note. There is no extended travel budget available right now; just participation in council		
	and board meetings can be financed. EUROPARC Consulting business		
	plan has to be revised. Finding partners, who can do pre-financing, is		
	being carried out.		
8.	j	Decisions Taken	Actions
	David Cameron gave a short insight in the contents of the report. David Cameron: Things do not necessarily need to change, but	Council noted report.	
	rethinking of the structures of the EUROPARC Federation shall be taken		
	into consideration. What is the right structure is in terms of the financial		
	structure? If we do we have a consulting – several aspects need to be thought over. It is necessary to go through the strategy process and		
	keep that in mind.		
	Wilf Fenten: A revised Internal Auditor's report had been tabled before		
-			Dogo 9 of 12

	the Council meeting, after an earlier version of the Internal Auditor's report had been discussed at the Consulting Board meeting in Brussels in April. However, the report David Cameron sent was the earlier version and not the revised version which included all the comments by the EUROPARC Consulting Board members. Wilf Fenten added that EUROPARC Consulting very much welcomes the report and hopes to be fully involved in the strategy discussions. David Cameron will send that latest version immediately after the Council meeting.		
	<u>David Cameron</u> added that the governance report is ready for the GA. <u>Carol Ritchie</u> informed about the proposal of the candidacy for the next Internal Auditor – Mr Roger De Freitas who has NGO and international work experience.	Council agreed to the proposed candidacy of Mr Roger De Freitas.	David Cameron and Roger de Freitas shall meet and discuss some issues after the council meeting.
9.	Low Countries section		
	The Low Countries sections statutes were approved by the Council. <u>Ignace Schops</u> : Section would like to receive right to vote if possible in future. The section could attract 15 – 20 new members to the Federation in five years time.	The Low Countries sections statutes approved by the Council.	A letter about the approval of the statutes prepared and sent by Directorate.
10.	Recommendation of Alfred Toepfer Scholarships 2014/2015		
	In total 25 applications have been received and there are 7 outstanding ones. The jury has evaluated them and proposes the final candidates.	Joss Ratcliffe. Scotland. UK – (kev issues:	Directorate to inform the scholars and the ATS, as well as to inform the other applicants about the results of the contest.

Page 9 of 13

			Directorate should secure continuity, by showing the results of the realised study trips afterwards to the council and to members.
11.	Awarding of Alfred Toepfer medal 2014		
	No nominations received.	Council agreed to keep the nominations open for the next year.	
12.	Consultation Our Future dialogues update		
	Thomas Hansson pointed out that position papers and proposals for the meetings shall be sent in advance and distributed by the Directorate. It is agreed among the council members to prepare a common opinion and to discuss the issues with the section representatives the next day. A question – How the strategy is going to be elaborated? <u>Carol Ritchie</u> : The council has asked for a task force on the consultation. What is the role of the task force now? No answer received from the council members. The next stage of the process – the questionnaire material has to be reviewed in order to prioritise the actions. During the meetings the priorities shall be discussed and chosen. After the meetings work of the strategy drafting committee will start. From the membership 4-5 forward looking people will be invited who are fluent in English and can represent various regions. Carol Ritchie will oversee the whole process. The drafting process will take place 3-4 days in June. On 21 st June it is necessary to distribute a draft paper with priorities in it. Action plan will		PROVISIONAL TIMETABLE of further steps of strategy preparation – see Annex 2 .

be elaborated until September. This document first will come to the	
council. Sections consultation will be done afterwards. Section	
representatives need to transfer the message further to members. Final	
draft shall be approved during the General Assembly.	
Carol Ritchie gives a summary of consultation process results.	
Council members expressed their opinions on priorities.	
(copy from the flip-charts follows:)	
Work priorities:	
 Work priorities provided to members feedback 	
Practical management for PA's emphasis	
Good lobbying to EU	
Mutual communications	
Improve to get results	
Better incorporation of Federation into day to day work of section	
and members	
 Be clear no why we do – communicate better 	
Council to address during the joint meeting:	
Sections – representations	
Council – more transparent and low visibility improved	
• GA	
Lobbying – representations	
Extending the membership	
 Improved organisation and structure/governance 	
 Feel less alienated – better representation / structure governance 	
– better process / GA	
What are our deliverables and what structure is then needed	
 – exchange good practice 	
 better network to deliver sustainable tourism 	
-	

Ignace Schops put several points for discussion:		
•Definition sections?		
 Geographical area's? 		
- Today we have $8 \rightarrow$ future ???		
Situation President		
 Directly elected by GA 		
 Elected within the Council 		
 Introduction Vice-President(s) 		
•Co-opted members		
 Voting rights in the council or not? 		
•Members >< sections?		
 How many members are represented in sections today? Rate: 60 % members are represented in sections /40% 		
•Integration staff of sections in staff HQ		
- Cost (who is paying?)		
– LAT-relation?		
?		
 Increase the Brussels (EU) footprint 		
 Broaden the network → increase members 		
Discussions about the election process and proportion of section	Council agreed to provide the co-opted	
	positions open for the section members	
	already for the upcoming GA.	
It should be defined what the council wants the sections to do in		
order to make their existence more legitimate.		
• 5-7 key roles of the council have to be agreed with section		
representatives in order to be proposed at the GA to the		
members.		
• Each member of the council should have a function; there should		
be more specialists and fewer representatives.		
• There should be representation of all sections at the council.		
The geographic aspect should not be more important than the one		
		1

 members elected, 3 proposed by sections. After discussions with section members, it is necessary to look for a possibility to have an extraordinary GA in order to save time. It is necessary to contact the members after the meeting directly, not just after the GA. It will be too late after the last council 	