

## EUROPARC Federation, Council Meeting

|                   |   |                   |                               |
|-------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>Location:</b>  | Schwerin, Germany   |                   |                               |
| <b>Date:</b>      | Thursday, 16 <sup>th</sup> June 2011  | <b>Duration :</b> | 8:00 – 9:30 and 18:00 – 19:15 |
| <b>Attendees:</b> | Council Members Present: Erika Stanciu (President), Eberhard Henne, Rolands Auzins, Dominique Leveque, Gábor Szilagyi<br>Co-Opted Council members present: Marian Jager-Wöltgens, Johannes Hager, Paulo Castro(only attended the meeting from 18:00 – 19:15)<br><br>Others Present:., Wilf Fenten (EUROPARC Consulting), Carol Ritchie (Director), Regina Schöfer (Executive Administrator), David Cameron (Internal Auditor) |                   |                               |
| <b>Apologies</b>  | Valter Zago, Pio Forte (Treasurer),   |                   |                               |

| Agenda Item | Notes   | Decisions Taken   | Actions |
|-------------|---|---|---------|
|             | <p>The Director opened the meeting and welcomed all participants.</p> <p>Due to time constraints it was decided to discuss agenda item 9, joint Eurosite/EUROPARC paper, first and conclude the meeting after the joint Council/sections/working groups meeting later that afternoon.</p>   | <p>The agenda was amended due to time constraints. Agenda item 9 was discussed first.</p> |         |
| <b>9.</b>   | <b>Joint EUROPARC/Eurosite Paper</b>  |   |         |
|             | <p>The Council had received a draft of the paper prior to the meeting.</p> <p>The following two options were put to the Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Continued joint cooperation between EUROPARC and Eurosite.</li> <li>2. Merger by setting up a new organization, with both EUROPARC and Eurosite as founding organizations.</li> </ol> <p>Council members felt that, as EUROPARC held a clear majority in membership, it would be easiest if Eurosite merged with EUROPARC. It was decided to raise this point at the subsequent joint meeting.</p> <p>There was already a close and well-functioning cooperation between both organization, but in order to realize Option 1 a more formal basis would be needed in the form of a MOU or contract.</p> <p>Option 2 could only be achieved through a three step transitional process and it was discussed in detail which form each step would take and where the process would end.</p> <p>The Council agreed that it would be beneficial to establish one voice for protected areas in Europe. In order to achieve this option 2 would be</p> |   |         |

**EUROPARC Federation  
Council Minutes, June 2011**

|   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <p>preferable. Members would only have to pay one membership fee with all areas of worked being performed by both organizations jointly.</p> <p>The registered seat of the new joint organization would also determine the choice of legal options and the Council agreed that professional, neutral help should be sought to evaluate the different options, if the General Assembly chose this option.</p> <p>In any case the cooperation should include representation of both sides at each others' General Assemblies this year to present the options to the members jointly.</p> |  |  |
|---|--|--|

**Due to time constraints the meeting had to be adjourned until later in the evening. It was continued at 18:00.**

| 1. | Minutes of previous meeting   | Decisions Taken  | Actions   |
|----|---|--|---|
|    | The minutes of the previous meeting were discussed.   | The minutes of the meeting held on 25 <sup>th</sup> March 2011 in Regensburg, Germany, were approved as a true and accurate record of the meeting. |   |
| 2  | Matters arising   | Decisions Taken  | Actions   |
|    | <p><b>a) Risk Assessment</b><br/>This is now an appropriate document. Staff and directorate have done an assessment and council members will feed back any suggestions to DC by email.</p> <p><b>b) NGO Report Feedback</b><br/>The directorate had asked the EU for feedback regarding the NGO grant application, which was given in a letter. The points raised will be taken into consideration when formulating the next application.</p> <p><b>c) Natura 2000 Working Group</b><br/>GS still to present a Terms of Reference for representatives and draft a framework template.</p> <p><b>d) Funding streams:</b><br/>PC still to look into list of countries and topics that are funded through EEA grants and send information to CR.</p> | The General Assembly will be informed that a risk register has been prepared.  | <p>Recirculate to Council members for suggestions by email. All council members to feed back to DC by 1 July.</p> <p>GS to provide TORs and a draft framework template.</p> <p>PC to send list of countries to CR</p> |

**EUROPARC Federation  
Council Minutes, June 2011**

|                  |  |  |   |
|------------------|--|--|---|
|                  | <p>NATO grants are closed at the moment. DBU are sending a representative to the conference in September, with whom funding opportunities could be discussed.</p> <p>e) <b>NGO Grant Mechanism:</b><br/>The Director had drafted a joint petition letter from Eurosite, EUROPARC and WWF to the EU. Reply/comments from WWF were still outstanding.</p> <p>The Council suggested elaborating more on the multi-year grant aspect.</p> <p>MJ-W reported that she had contacted an MEP with regard to this issue, who was waiting to receive a copy of the letter.</p> | <p>Directorate to send letter, even if WWF does not wish to be a signatory.</p>  | <p>ES to discuss with WWF.</p>  |
|                  | <p>f) <b>PAME Follow-on Project</b><br/>As the main sticking point was input from the Sections, it was decided to ask the Sections for participation at the meeting the next day.</p>  |  |   |
| <p><b>3.</b></p> | <p><b>Report from President</b></p>  | <p><b>Decisions Taken</b></p>  | <p><b>Actions</b></p>   |
|                  | <p>The President had mainly been working on the Wilderness working group. The group had finalised a definition of the term "wilderness" which would be agreed and released at their next meeting.</p>  |  |   |
| <p><b>4.</b></p> | <p><b>Cooption of Temporary Treasurer</b></p>  | <p><b>Decisions Taken</b></p>  | <p><b>Actions</b></p>   |
|                  | <p>Under Art. 7.a.6 in case of incapacity of a member of the Council, the Council may co-opt a replacement for the rest of the current term of office of the Council, The involvement of new council members shall be ratified during the first following General Assembly.</p>  |  | <p>Directorate will investigate council's decision to seek temporary treasurer.</p>                                   |
| <p><b>5.</b></p> | <p><b>Approval of French Charter Methodology</b></p>   | <p><b>Decisions Taken</b></p>  | <p><b>Actions</b></p>   |
|                  | <p><b>a. French Charter Methodology</b><br/>The French section had submitted a proposal for a methodology which brings together the various methodologies currently in existence.<br/>WF explained that normally each section prepares their own Part 2</p>  | <p>A decision on the French methodology will be made by email consent taking into account the CEC recommendations.</p> | <p>WF to put French and Nordic-Baltic methodology on the CEC agenda and request formal recommendation to Council.</p> |

**EUROPARC Federation  
Council Minutes, June 2011**

|           |   |  |   |
|-----------|---|--|---|
|           | <p>methodology. This is then passed to the Charter Evaluation Committee to check whether the methodology is in accordance with the procedure. They issue a recommendation to the Council who considers approval.</p> <p><b>b. Implementation of the Charter for Sustainable Tourism in France</b></p> <p>Sometimes parks have to prepare reports and measures for the French Charter and EUROPARC Charter at the same time, which imposes a huge workload on the park administration.</p> <p>The Council was sympathetic to this problem and asked WF to investigate.</p>   |  | <p>WF to clarify with CEC and STWG.</p> |
| <b>6.</b> | <b>Conference 2012</b>  | <b>Decisions Taken</b>   | <b>Actions</b>                          |
|           | <p>A proposal had been received from Hoge Kempen National Park in Belgium. Due to school holidays in Belgium the conference date would have to be in October 2012.</p>  | <p>The Council unanimously agreed Belgium as the conference venue for 2012 but asked for the proposal to be revised to give it more content and depth.</p> |   |
| <b>7.</b> | <b>Directorate's Report</b>   | <b>Decisions Taken</b>   | <b>Actions</b>                          |
|           | <p>Due to time constraints the submitted report could not be discussed. It was agreed to wait for the next quarterly report instead and feed any comments back by email, if necessary.</p> <p><b>Project Developments:</b></p> <p>A project status sheet had been circulated to all Council members prior to the meeting detailing current projects and projects in development.</p> <p>A discussion arose on the role of the sections with regard to project funding and whether EUROPARC should be a facilitating and networking organisation or should submit its own project proposals. There was consensus that the income should be as diverse as</p> |  |   |

**EUROPARC Federation  
Council Minutes, June 2011**

|           |   |  |                |
|-----------|---|--|----------------|
|           | possible and should include contributions from sections.<br><br>One possible option is to ask for a percentage of any project management fee to go to the Federation. The Council decided to raise this issue with the sections at the strategy meeting the next day. |  |                |
| <b>8.</b> | <b>Alfred Toepfer Awards</b>  | <b>Decisions Taken</b>   | <b>Actions</b> |
|           | a. <b>Alfred Toepfer Medal</b><br>Council discussed the nominations.  | The medal was unanimously awarded to:<br>Hans Bibelriether (D)   |                |
|           | b. <b>Alfred Toepfer Scholarships</b><br><br>20 from 13 countries scholarship applications had been received and the Directorate had done a pre-assessment along the ATS-guidelines and conditions.   | The Council decided to award scholarships to:<br><br>Ross Watson (Scotland)<br>Tünde Ludnai (Hungary)<br>Robbert Cassier (Belgium) |                |
| <b>9.</b> | <b>Any Other Business</b>   |  |                |
|           | <b>Date and Location for next meeting:</b><br>21 <sup>st</sup> September 2011: Biosphärenreservat Schwäbische Alb, Germany  |  |                |