Our FUTURE Dialogues

Opening Remarks

The extensive consultation exercise undertaken during 2014, has given the EUROPARC Federation an opportunity to consider its future priorities as expressed by the members.

Having been delayed for some time, the information now gathered should be a platform from which to renew and realign the organisation

This survey came at a challenging time in the Federation's history. Membership is dropping and finances are becoming critical. Increased expectations and demands test the limited human capacity available.

Some residual malcontent about recent activities and decision in relation to the proposed merger were noted, but did not dominate the written feedback.

A wide ranger of opinions have been gathered with many disparate and sometimes contradictory views ©. Clear decisions and difficult priorities are needed to be made in order that resources can be and a structure devised to deliver the aspirations of the network.

Thanks to all who contributed, staff, council and sections. As well as members who took time to reflect and consider



STATUS:

Current EUROPARC membership = 374 members

Consultation results;

- •Online survey = 272 received
- •182 valid entries, taking out empty forms and non-members
- •48% of membership
- ✓ 6 Focus group meeting were also held in, France(19), Hungary (14), Germany(6), Nordic Baltic(7), Low Countries (19), Atlantic Isles(19), totalling 84 participants

Highest number of entries


ITALY

Who are you?


Options		%	Data
Protected Area		57.69%	105
Agency/ Government			
department		20.88%	38
NGO		8.79%	16
Individual		7.69%	14
Other		4.95%	9
		100.00	
	Total	%	182

Options	%	Data
Directorate	42.86%	78
Senior		
Manager	22.53%	41
Technical	23.63%	43
Other	10.99%	20
Total	100.00%	182






Who are you?



Options Less than a	%	Data
year	4.95%	9
1-3 years	10.44%	19
4-10 years	37.36%	68
11-20 years More than 20	24.73%	45
years	13.74%	25
Don't know	8.79%	16
Total		182




Where are you from?




Q.6 From the list of Federation's work below, please indicate how SATISFIED you are as a member with this area of work, by marking the corresponding level.















Opportunities to develop partnerships, e.g. projects.














Learning possibilities through workshops/events organized by EUROPARC














Practical tools provided for protected area management



Information about funding sources for members' work



Summary of satisfaction of Federation work





LISTENING TO THE PAST & TALKING ABOUT THE FUTURE




Q.7 From the list of Federation's work below, please indicate how Satisfied you are as a member with this area of work, by marking the corresponding level.


Participation in EUROPARC's projects or programmes (Charter, Junior Ranger, Transboundary)







Information provided by EUROPARC through e-News/website/social networks/publications







Promotion of my protected area or organisation












Lobbying and Advocacy in EU Institutions






Summary of Satisfaction levels of areas of Federation's work





What have we learned so far......



Works in a Protected Area
Is in Senior Management
Has been a EUROPARC member for about 8 years
May be Italian ©. Certainly Western European.
Is most satisfied with Federations work in

networking

events

information

Is least satisfied with


practical tools in PA management information about funding chance to make partnerships/projects




,Some governance issues.....

Opportunities to participate in the lobbying work







Process of becoming a member







Work of the Directorate







Work of the Council













Work of the Working Groups











Work of EUROPARC



149

Total

Consulting






What have we learned so far......



Works in a Protected Area
Is in Senior Management
Has been a EUROPARC member for about 8 years
May be Italian ©. Certainly Western European.

Is most satisfied with
networking
events
information
Is least satisfied with
practical tools
information about funding

chance to make partnerships/projects...



And there's more.....

Not happy with (most very dissatisfied %'s)

- •The General Assembly
- •The work of the Council



EUROPARC Consulting
 Directorate
 Could do better




But likes

- •The process of becoming a member
- The Conference
- The working groups









,Joine governance issues			
	/Total		
Italy	25/31		
Germany	18/23		
France	19/17		
Spain	17/19		
Atlantic Isles	23/23		
Nordic Baltic	18/20		
	123		

Work of the Directorate










Section	Answered /total
Italy	26/31
Germany	22/23
France	14/17
Spain	16/19
Atlantic Isles	22/23
Nordic Baltic	19/20
	123


The General Assembly








Work of the Council









Section	Answered /Total
Italy	25/31
Germany	18/23
France	12/17
Spain	16/19
Atlantic Isles	22/23
Nordic Baltic	17/20
	123


Opportunities to participate in the lobbying work




per countries...




Work of the Directorate






per countries...



Does it vary by Country??.....


- •Everyone pretty much dissatisfied with opportunity to take part in lobbying. NB 50:50
- •70: 30 satisfied with Directorate work, but EAI and Spain so-so.
- •70:30 satisfied with General Assembly departure from focus groups, with Spain and EAI showing least(but not majority dissatisfaction)
- •Council satisfaction level (lowest but still majority), unlike focus groups. Only EAI show majority dissatisfied.






Top 5 priorities for the EUROPARC Federation

Q.9 What should be in your opinion the priorities of EUROPARC in the next 5 years?






Top 5 preferred communication channels










Communication priorities of EUROPARC for the Italian members




Communication priorities of EUROPARC for the French members



Communication priorities of EUROPARC for the German members




Communication priorities of EUROPARC for the Spanish members




Communication priorities of EUROPARC for the Czech members

Promote and disseminate members' activities, projects... Produce print / e-publications Campaign about Europe's nature and Protected Areas Provide information on available funding resources and possibilities Further develop, promote and position EUROPARC brands (e.g....

Communication priorities of EUROPARC for the Atlantic Isles members




Communication priorities of EUROPARC for the Nordic Baltic members












Communication priorities of EUROPARC for the Pas

Communication priorities of EUROPARC for Agencies/ Government Dep.






Communication priorities of EUROPARC for NGOs






Currently some EUROPARC services are paid separately, e.g. Charter and Transboundary. If these were combined with a basic membership fee, which package of membership fee would be most useful for you?



Option	Count %	
Basic (EUROPARC membership)	80	49.08%
Basic + (EUROPARC membership + Transboundary)	7	4.29%
Basic ++ (EUROPARC membership + Charter)	35	21.47%
Basic +++ (EUROPARC membership + Charter + Transboundary)	19	11.66%
Other (please specify)	22	13.50%
Tot	al 163	




What should be the selection criteria to join the Federation?



OPTION	COUNT	%
Financial ability of the applicant	:	12 7.45%
Commitment by the applicant to active participation	!	36.02%
Status/category of organization in relation to protected ar	reas	78 48.45%
Nationality		1 0.62%
Other (please specify)	:	12 7.45%
	Total 1	100.00%




Who should be the members of EUROPARC?






Are you member of a Section?.






How does your organisation benefit from being a member of a Section?





At which level do you think EUROPARC sections should work?





OPTIONS	COUNT	
National Regional with more than one country e.g. Nordic Baltic, Mediterranean	44	28.03%
	49	31.21%
Biogeographic areas	21	13.38%
Thematic: Coastal, Marine, Education, Sustainable		
development	28	17.83%
Other	15	9.55%



100.00%

Overall summary





- •They also want communication to focus on
- A campaign about Europe's nature and PA's
 - Promotion of member activities
 - •Information about funding.

Is most satisfied with

- networking
- •events
- information



Not happy with -most very dissatisfied %'s

- •The General Assembly
- •EUROPARC Consulting
- •The work of the Council





Is least satisfied with
• practical tools
•information about funding

•chance to make partnerships/projects..

But likes

- •The process of becoming a member
- The Conference
- The working group

